

Appendix

A Proof for Theorem 1

A.1 Notations

We start by defining some notations. For each time t , we define a random permutation $(\mathbf{a}_1^{*,t}, \dots, \mathbf{a}_K^{*,t})$ of A^* based on \mathbf{A}_t as follows: for any $k = 1, \dots, K$, if $\mathbf{a}_k^t \in A^*$, then we set $\mathbf{a}_k^{*,t} = \mathbf{a}_k^t$. The remaining optimal items are positioned arbitrarily. Notice that under this random permutation, we have:

$$\bar{w}(\mathbf{a}_k^{*,t}) \geq \bar{w}(\mathbf{a}_k^t) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{U}_t(\mathbf{a}_k^t) \geq \mathbf{U}_t(\mathbf{a}_k^{*,t}) \quad \forall k = 1, 2, \dots, K$$

Moreover, we use \mathcal{H}_t to denote the ‘‘history’’ (rigorously speaking, σ -algebra) by the end of time t . Then both $\mathbf{A}_t = (\mathbf{a}_1^t, \dots, \mathbf{a}_K^t)$ and the permutation $(\mathbf{a}_1^{*,t}, \dots, \mathbf{a}_K^{*,t})$ of A^* are \mathcal{H}_{t-1} -adaptive. In other words, they are conditionally deterministic at the beginning of time t . To simplify the notation, in this paper, we use $\mathbb{E}_t[\cdot]$ to denote $\mathbb{E}[\cdot | \mathcal{H}_{t-1}]$ when appropriate.

When appropriate, we also use $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ to denote the inner product of two vectors. Specifically, for two vectors u and v with the same dimension, we use $\langle u, v \rangle$ to denote $u^\top v$.

A.2 Regret Decomposition

We first prove the following technical lemma:

Lemma 1. For any $B = (b_1, \dots, b_K) \in \mathbb{R}^K$ and $C = (c_1, \dots, c_K) \in \mathbb{R}^K$, we have

$$\prod_{k=1}^K b_k - \prod_{k=1}^K c_k = \sum_{k=1}^K \left[\prod_{i=1}^{k-1} b_i \right] \times [b_k - c_k] \times \left[\prod_{j=k+1}^K c_j \right].$$

Proof. Notice that

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{k=1}^K \left[\prod_{i=1}^{k-1} b_i \right] \times [b_k - c_k] \times \left[\prod_{j=k+1}^K c_j \right] \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^K \left\{ \left[\prod_{i=1}^k b_i \right] \times \left[\prod_{j=k+1}^K c_j \right] - \left[\prod_{i=1}^{k-1} b_i \right] \times \left[\prod_{j=k}^K c_j \right] \right\} \\ &= \prod_{k=1}^K b_k - \prod_{k=1}^K c_k. \end{aligned}$$

■

Thus we have

$$\begin{aligned} R(\mathbf{A}_t, \mathbf{w}_t) &= f(A^*, \mathbf{w}_t) - f(\mathbf{A}_t, \mathbf{w}_t) \\ &= \prod_{k=1}^K (1 - \mathbf{w}_t(\mathbf{a}_k^t)) - \prod_{k=1}^K (1 - \mathbf{w}_t(\mathbf{a}_k^{*,t})) \\ &\stackrel{(a)}{=} \sum_{k=1}^K \left[\prod_{i=1}^{k-1} (1 - \mathbf{w}_t(\mathbf{a}_i^t)) \right] [\mathbf{w}_t(\mathbf{a}_k^{*,t}) - \mathbf{w}_t(\mathbf{a}_k^t)] \left[\prod_{j=k+1}^K (1 - \mathbf{w}_t(\mathbf{a}_j^{*,t})) \right] \\ &\stackrel{(b)}{\leq} \sum_{k=1}^K \left[\prod_{i=1}^{k-1} (1 - \mathbf{w}_t(\mathbf{a}_i^t)) \right] [\mathbf{w}_t(\mathbf{a}_k^{*,t}) - \mathbf{w}_t(\mathbf{a}_k^t)], \end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

where equality (a) is based on Lemma 1 and inequality (b) is based on the fact that $\prod_{j=k+1}^K (1 - \mathbf{w}_t(\mathbf{a}_j^{*,t})) \leq 1$. Recall that \mathbf{A}^t and the permutation $(\mathbf{a}_1^{*,t}, \dots, \mathbf{a}_K^{*,t})$ of A^* are deterministic conditioning on \mathcal{H}_{t-1} , and $\mathbf{a}_k^{*,t} \neq \mathbf{a}_i^t$ for all $i < k$, thus we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}_t[R(\mathbf{A}_t, \mathbf{w}_t)] &\leq \mathbb{E}_t \left[\sum_{k=1}^K \left[\prod_{i=1}^{k-1} (1 - \mathbf{w}_t(\mathbf{a}_i^t)) \right] [\mathbf{w}_t(\mathbf{a}_k^{*,t}) - \mathbf{w}_t(\mathbf{a}_k^t)] \right] \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^K \mathbb{E}_t \left[\prod_{i=1}^{k-1} (1 - \mathbf{w}_t(\mathbf{a}_i^t)) \right] \mathbb{E}_t [\mathbf{w}_t(\mathbf{a}_k^{*,t}) - \mathbf{w}_t(\mathbf{a}_k^t)] \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^K \mathbb{E}_t \left[\prod_{i=1}^{k-1} (1 - \mathbf{w}_t(\mathbf{a}_i^t)) \right] [\bar{w}(\mathbf{a}_k^{*,t}) - \bar{w}(\mathbf{a}_k^t)]. \end{aligned}$$

For any $t \leq n$ and any $e \in E$, we define event

$$\mathcal{G}_{t,k} = \{\text{item } \mathbf{a}_k^t \text{ is examined in episode } t\},$$

notice that $\mathbb{1}\{\mathcal{G}_{t,k}\} = \prod_{i=1}^{k-1} (1 - \mathbf{w}_t(\mathbf{a}_i^t))$. Thus, we have

$$\mathbb{E}_t[\mathbf{R}_t] \leq \sum_{k=1}^K \mathbb{E}_t[\mathbb{1}\{\mathcal{G}_{t,k}\}] [\bar{w}(\mathbf{a}_k^{*,t}) - \bar{w}(\mathbf{a}_k^t)].$$

Hence, from the tower property, we have

$$R(n) \leq \mathbb{E} \left[\sum_{t=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^K \mathbb{1}\{\mathcal{G}_{t,k}\} [\bar{w}(\mathbf{a}_k^{*,t}) - \bar{w}(\mathbf{a}_k^t)] \right]. \quad (6)$$

We further define event \mathcal{E} as

$$\mathcal{E} = \left\{ |\langle x_e, \bar{\theta}_{t-1} - \theta^* \rangle| \leq c\sqrt{x_e^T M_{t-1}^{-1} x_e}, \forall e \in E, \forall t \leq n \right\}, \quad (7)$$

and $\bar{\mathcal{E}}$ as the complement of \mathcal{E} . Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} R(n) &\stackrel{(a)}{\leq} P(\mathcal{E}) \mathbb{E} \left[\sum_{t=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^K \mathbb{1}\{\mathcal{G}_{t,k}\} [\bar{w}(\mathbf{a}_k^{*,t}) - \bar{w}(\mathbf{a}_k^t)] \middle| \mathcal{E} \right] \\ &\quad + P(\bar{\mathcal{E}}) \mathbb{E} \left[\sum_{t=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^K \mathbb{1}\{\mathcal{G}_{t,k}\} [\bar{w}(\mathbf{a}_k^{*,t}) - \bar{w}(\mathbf{a}_k^t)] \middle| \bar{\mathcal{E}} \right] \\ &\stackrel{(b)}{\leq} \mathbb{E} \left[\sum_{t=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^K \mathbb{1}\{\mathcal{G}_{t,k}\} [\bar{w}(\mathbf{a}_k^{*,t}) - \bar{w}(\mathbf{a}_k^t)] \middle| \mathcal{E} \right] + nKP(\bar{\mathcal{E}}), \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

where inequality (a) is based on the law of total probability, and the inequality (b) is based on the naive bounds (1) $P(\mathcal{E}) \leq 1$ and (2) $\mathbb{1}\{\mathcal{G}_{t,k}\} [\bar{w}(\mathbf{a}_k^{*,t}) - \bar{w}(\mathbf{a}_k^t)] \leq 1$. Notice that from the definition of event \mathcal{E} , we have

$$\bar{w}(e) = \langle x_e, \theta^* \rangle \leq \langle x_e, \bar{\theta}_{t-1} \rangle + c\sqrt{x_e^T M_{t-1}^{-1} x_e} \quad \forall e \in E, \forall t \leq n$$

under event \mathcal{E} . Moreover, since $\bar{w}(e) \leq 1$ by definition, we have $\bar{w}(e) \leq \mathbf{U}_t(e)$ for all $e \in E$ and all $t \leq n$ under event \mathcal{E} . Hence under event \mathcal{E} , we have

$$\bar{w}(\mathbf{a}_k^t) \leq \bar{w}(\mathbf{a}_k^{*,t}) \leq \mathbf{U}_t(\mathbf{a}_k^{*,t}) \leq \mathbf{U}_t(\mathbf{a}_k^t) \leq \langle x_{\mathbf{a}_k^t}, \bar{\theta}_{t-1} \rangle + c\sqrt{x_{\mathbf{a}_k^t}^T M_{t-1}^{-1} x_{\mathbf{a}_k^t}} \quad \forall t \leq n.$$

Thus we have

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{w}(\mathbf{a}_k^{*,t}) - \bar{w}(\mathbf{a}_k^t) &\stackrel{(a)}{\leq} \langle x_{\mathbf{a}_k^t}, \bar{\theta}_{t-1} - \theta^* \rangle + c\sqrt{x_{\mathbf{a}_k^t}^T M_{t-1}^{-1} x_{\mathbf{a}_k^t}} \\ &\stackrel{(b)}{\leq} 2c\sqrt{x_{\mathbf{a}_k^t}^T M_{t-1}^{-1} x_{\mathbf{a}_k^t}}, \end{aligned}$$

where inequality (a) follows from the fact that $\bar{w}(\mathbf{a}_k^{*,t}) \leq \langle x_{\mathbf{a}_k^t}, \bar{\theta}_{t-1} \rangle + c\sqrt{x_{\mathbf{a}_k^t}^T M_{t-1}^{-1} x_{\mathbf{a}_k^t}}$ and inequality (b) follows from the fact that $\langle x_{\mathbf{a}_k^t}, \bar{\theta}_{t-1} - \theta^* \rangle \leq c\sqrt{x_{\mathbf{a}_k^t}^T M_{t-1}^{-1} x_{\mathbf{a}_k^t}}$ under event \mathcal{E} . Thus, we have

$$R(n) \leq 2c\mathbb{E} \left[\sum_{t=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^K \mathbb{1}\{\mathcal{G}_{t,k}\} \sqrt{x_{\mathbf{a}_k^t}^T M_{t-1}^{-1} x_{\mathbf{a}_k^t}} \middle| \mathcal{E} \right] + nKP(\bar{\mathcal{E}}).$$

Define $\mathbf{K}_t = \min\{\mathbf{C}_t, K\}$, notice that

$$\sum_{k=1}^K \mathbb{1}\{\mathcal{G}_{t,k}\} \sqrt{x_{\mathbf{a}_k^t}^T M_{t-1}^{-1} x_{\mathbf{a}_k^t}} = \sum_{k=1}^{\mathbf{K}_t} \sqrt{x_{\mathbf{a}_k^t}^T M_{t-1}^{-1} x_{\mathbf{a}_k^t}}.$$

Thus, we have

$$R(n) \leq 2c\mathbb{E} \left[\sum_{t=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^{\mathbf{K}_t} \sqrt{x_{\mathbf{a}_k^t}^T M_{t-1}^{-1} x_{\mathbf{a}_k^t}} \middle| \mathcal{E} \right] + nKP(\bar{\mathcal{E}}). \quad (9)$$

In the next two subsections, we will provide a *worst-case* bound on $\sum_{t=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^{\mathbf{K}_t} \sqrt{x_{\mathbf{a}_k^t}^T M_{t-1}^{-1} x_{\mathbf{a}_k^t}}$ and a bound on $P(\bar{\mathcal{E}})$.

A.3 Worst-Case Bound on $\sum_{t=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^{\mathbf{K}_t} \sqrt{x_{\mathbf{a}_k}^T M_{t-1}^{-1} x_{\mathbf{a}_k}^t}$

Lemma 2. $\sum_{t=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^{\mathbf{K}_t} \sqrt{x_{\mathbf{a}_k}^T M_{t-1}^{-1} x_{\mathbf{a}_k}^t} \leq K \sqrt{\frac{dn \log[1 + \frac{nK}{d\sigma^2}]}{\log(1 + \frac{1}{\sigma^2})}}$.

Proof. To simplify the exposition, we define $z_{t,k} = \sqrt{x_{\mathbf{a}_k}^T M_{t-1}^{-1} x_{\mathbf{a}_k}^t}$ for all (t, k) s.t. $k \leq \mathbf{K}_t$. Recall that

$$M_t = M_{t-1} + \frac{1}{\sigma^2} \sum_{k=1}^{\mathbf{K}_t} x_{\mathbf{a}_k}^t x_{\mathbf{a}_k}^T$$

Thus, for all (t, k) s.t. $k \leq \mathbf{K}_t$, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \det [M_t] &\geq \det \left[M_{t-1} + \frac{1}{\sigma^2} x_{\mathbf{a}_k}^t x_{\mathbf{a}_k}^T \right] = \det \left[M_{t-1}^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(I + \frac{1}{\sigma^2} M_{t-1}^{-\frac{1}{2}} x_{\mathbf{a}_k}^t x_{\mathbf{a}_k}^T M_{t-1}^{-\frac{1}{2}} \right) M_{t-1}^{\frac{1}{2}} \right] \\ &= \det [M_{t-1}] \det \left[I + \frac{1}{\sigma^2} M_{t-1}^{-\frac{1}{2}} x_{\mathbf{a}_k}^t x_{\mathbf{a}_k}^T M_{t-1}^{-\frac{1}{2}} \right] \\ &= \det [M_{t-1}] \left(1 + \frac{1}{\sigma^2} x_{\mathbf{a}_k}^T M_{t-1}^{-1} x_{\mathbf{a}_k}^t \right) = \det [M_{t-1}] \left(1 + \frac{z_{t,k}^2}{\sigma^2} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we have

$$(\det [M_t])^{\mathbf{K}_t} \geq (\det [M_{t-1}])^{\mathbf{K}_t} \prod_{k=1}^{\mathbf{K}_t} \left(1 + \frac{z_{t,k}^2}{\sigma^2} \right).$$

Since $\det [M_t] \geq \det [M_{t-1}]$ and $\mathbf{K}_t \leq K$, we have

$$(\det [M_t])^K \geq (\det [M_{t-1}])^K \prod_{k=1}^{\mathbf{K}_t} \left(1 + \frac{z_{t,k}^2}{\sigma^2} \right).$$

So we have

$$(\det [M_n])^K \geq (\det [M_0])^K \prod_{t=1}^n \prod_{k=1}^{\mathbf{K}_t} \left(1 + \frac{z_{t,k}^2}{\sigma^2} \right) = \prod_{t=1}^n \prod_{k=1}^{\mathbf{K}_t} \left(1 + \frac{z_{t,k}^2}{\sigma^2} \right),$$

since $M_0 = I$. On the other hand, we have that

$$\text{trace} (M_n) = \text{trace} \left(I + \frac{1}{\sigma^2} \sum_{t=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^{\mathbf{K}_t} x_{\mathbf{a}_k}^t x_{\mathbf{a}_k}^T \right) = d + \frac{1}{\sigma^2} \sum_{t=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^{\mathbf{K}_t} \|x_{\mathbf{a}_k}^t\|_2^2 \leq d + \frac{nK}{\sigma^2},$$

where the last inequality follows from the fact that $\|x_{\mathbf{a}_k}^t\|_2 \leq 1$ and $\mathbf{K}_t \leq K$. From the trace-determinant inequality, we have $\frac{1}{d} \text{trace} (M_n) \geq [\det (M_n)]^{\frac{1}{d}}$, thus we have

$$\left[1 + \frac{nK}{d\sigma^2} \right]^{dK} \geq \left[\frac{1}{d} \text{trace} (M_n) \right]^{dK} \geq [\det (M_n)]^K \geq \prod_{t=1}^n \prod_{k=1}^{\mathbf{K}_t} \left(1 + \frac{z_{t,k}^2}{\sigma^2} \right).$$

Taking the logarithm, we have

$$dK \log \left[1 + \frac{nK}{d\sigma^2} \right] \geq \sum_{t=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^{\mathbf{K}_t} \log \left(1 + \frac{z_{t,k}^2}{\sigma^2} \right). \quad (10)$$

Notice that $z_{t,k}^2 = x_{\mathbf{a}_k}^T M_{t-1}^{-1} x_{\mathbf{a}_k}^t \leq x_{\mathbf{a}_k}^T M_0^{-1} x_{\mathbf{a}_k}^t = \|x_{\mathbf{a}_k}^t\|_2^2 \leq 1$, thus we have $z_{t,k}^2 \leq \frac{\log \left(1 + \frac{z_{t,k}^2}{\sigma^2} \right)}{\log \left(1 + \frac{1}{\sigma^2} \right)}$.⁵ Hence we have

$$\sum_{t=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^{\mathbf{K}_t} z_{t,k}^2 \leq \frac{1}{\log \left(1 + \frac{1}{\sigma^2} \right)} \sum_{t=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^{\mathbf{K}_t} \log \left(1 + \frac{z_{t,k}^2}{\sigma^2} \right) \leq \frac{dK \log \left[1 + \frac{nK}{d\sigma^2} \right]}{\log \left(1 + \frac{1}{\sigma^2} \right)}.$$

⁵Notice that for any $y \in [0, 1]$, we have $y \leq \frac{\log \left(1 + \frac{y}{\sigma^2} \right)}{\log \left(1 + \frac{1}{\sigma^2} \right)} = h(y)$. To see it, notice that $h(y)$ is a strictly concave function, and $h(0) = 0$ and $h(1) = 1$.

Finally, from Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, we have that

$$\sum_{t=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^{\mathbf{K}_t} z_{t,k} \leq \sqrt{nK} \sqrt{\sum_{t=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^{\mathbf{K}_t} z_{t,k}^2} \leq K \sqrt{\frac{dn \log \left[1 + \frac{nK}{d\sigma^2}\right]}{\log \left(1 + \frac{1}{\sigma^2}\right)}}.$$

■

A.4 Bound on $P(\bar{\mathcal{E}})$

Lemma 3. For any $\sigma > 0$, any $\delta \in (0, 1)$, and any

$$c \geq \frac{1}{\sigma} \sqrt{d \log \left(1 + \frac{nK}{d\sigma^2}\right) + 2 \log \left(\frac{1}{\delta}\right)} + \|\theta^*\|_2,$$

we have $P(\bar{\mathcal{E}}) \leq \delta$.

Proof. We start by defining some useful notations. For any $t = 1, 2, \dots$, any $k = 1, 2, \dots, \mathbf{K}_t$, we define

$$\eta_{t,k} = \mathbf{w}_t(\mathbf{a}_k^t) - \bar{w}(\mathbf{a}_k^t).$$

One key observation is that $\eta_{t,k}$'s form a Martingale difference sequence (MDS).⁶ Moreover, since $\eta_{t,k}$'s are bounded in $[-1, 1]$ and hence they are conditionally sub-Gaussian with constant $R = 1$. We further define that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{V}_t &= \sigma^2 M_t = \sigma^2 I + \sum_{\tau=1}^t \sum_{k=1}^{\mathbf{K}_\tau} x_{\mathbf{a}_k^\tau} x_{\mathbf{a}_k^\tau}^T \\ \mathbf{S}_t &= \sum_{\tau=1}^t \sum_{k=1}^{\mathbf{K}_\tau} x_{\mathbf{a}_k^\tau} \eta_{\tau,k} = B_t - \sum_{\tau=1}^t \sum_{k=1}^{\mathbf{K}_\tau} x_{\mathbf{a}_k^\tau} \bar{w}(\mathbf{a}_k^\tau) = B_t - \left[\sum_{\tau=1}^t \sum_{k=1}^{\mathbf{K}_\tau} x_{\mathbf{a}_k^\tau} x_{\mathbf{a}_k^\tau}^T \right] \theta^* \end{aligned}$$

As we will see later, we define \mathbf{V}_t and \mathbf{S}_t to use the ‘‘self-normalized bound’’ developed in [1] (see Algorithm 1 of [1]). Notice that

$$M_t \bar{\theta}_t = \frac{1}{\sigma^2} B_t = \frac{1}{\sigma^2} \mathbf{S}_t + \frac{1}{\sigma^2} \left[\sum_{\tau=1}^t \sum_{k=1}^{\mathbf{K}_\tau} x_{\mathbf{a}_k^\tau} x_{\mathbf{a}_k^\tau}^T \right] \theta^* = \frac{1}{\sigma^2} \mathbf{S}_t + [M_t - I] \theta^*,$$

where the last equality is based on the definition of M_t . Hence we have

$$\bar{\theta}_t - \theta^* = M_t^{-1} \left[\frac{1}{\sigma^2} \mathbf{S}_t - \theta^* \right].$$

Thus, for any $e \in E$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle x_e, \bar{\theta}_t - \theta^* \rangle| &= \left| x_e^T M_t^{-1} \left[\frac{1}{\sigma^2} \mathbf{S}_t - \theta^* \right] \right| \leq \|x_e\|_{M_t^{-1}} \left\| \frac{1}{\sigma^2} \mathbf{S}_t - \theta^* \right\|_{M_t^{-1}} \\ &\leq \|x_e\|_{M_t^{-1}} \left[\left\| \frac{1}{\sigma^2} \mathbf{S}_t \right\|_{M_t^{-1}} + \|\theta^*\|_{M_t^{-1}} \right], \end{aligned}$$

where the first inequality follows from the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality and the second inequality follows from the triangle inequality. Notice that $\|\theta^*\|_{M_t^{-1}} \leq \|\theta^*\|_{M_0^{-1}} = \|\theta^*\|_2$, and $\left\| \frac{1}{\sigma^2} \mathbf{S}_t \right\|_{M_t^{-1}} = \frac{1}{\sigma} \|\mathbf{S}_t\|_{\mathbf{V}_t^{-1}}$ (since $M_t^{-1} = \sigma^2 \mathbf{V}_t^{-1}$), so we have

$$|\langle x_e, \bar{\theta}_t - \theta^* \rangle| \leq \|x_e\|_{M_t^{-1}} \left[\frac{1}{\sigma} \|\mathbf{S}_t\|_{\mathbf{V}_t^{-1}} + \|\theta^*\|_2 \right]. \quad (11)$$

Notice that the above inequality always holds. We now provide a high-probability bound on $\|\mathbf{S}_t\|_{\mathbf{V}_t^{-1}}$ based on ‘‘self-normalized bound’’ proposed in [1]. From Theorem 1 of [1], we know that for any $\delta \in (0, 1)$, with probability at least $1 - \delta$, we have

$$\|\mathbf{S}_t\|_{\mathbf{V}_t^{-1}} \leq \sqrt{2 \log \left(\frac{\det(\mathbf{V}_t)^{1/2} \det(\mathbf{V}_0)^{-1/2}}{\delta} \right)} \quad \forall t = 0, 1, \dots$$

⁶Notice that the notion of ‘‘time’’ is indexed by the pair (t, k) , and follows the lexicographical order.

Notice that $\det(\mathbf{V}_0) = \det(\sigma^2 I) = \sigma^{2d}$. Moreover, from the trace-determinant inequality, we have

$$[\det(\mathbf{V}_t)]^{1/d} \leq \frac{\text{trace}(\mathbf{V}_t)}{d} = \sigma^2 + \frac{1}{d} \sum_{\tau=1}^t \sum_{k=1}^{\mathbf{K}_\tau} \|x_{\mathbf{a}_k^\tau}\|_2^2 \leq \sigma^2 + \frac{tK}{d} \leq \sigma^2 + \frac{nK}{d},$$

where the second inequality follows from the assumption that $\|x_{\mathbf{a}_k^\tau}\|_2 \leq 1$ and $\mathbf{K}_\tau \leq K$, and the last inequality follows from $t \leq n$. Thus, with probability at least $1 - \delta$, we have

$$\|\mathbf{S}_t\|_{\mathbf{V}_t^{-1}} \leq \sqrt{d \log \left(1 + \frac{nK}{d\sigma^2}\right) + 2 \log \left(\frac{1}{\delta}\right)} \quad \forall t = 0, 1, \dots, n-1.$$

That is, with probability at least $1 - \delta$, we have

$$|\langle x_e, \bar{\theta}_t - \theta^* \rangle| \leq \|x_e\|_{M_t^{-1}} \left[\frac{1}{\sigma} \sqrt{d \log \left(1 + \frac{nK}{d\sigma^2}\right) + 2 \log \left(\frac{1}{\delta}\right)} + \|\theta^*\|_2 \right]$$

for all $t = 0, 1, \dots, n-1$ and $\forall e \in E$. Recall that by definition of event \mathcal{E} , the above inequality implies that, if

$$c \geq \frac{1}{\sigma} \sqrt{d \log \left(1 + \frac{nK}{d\sigma^2}\right) + 2 \log \left(\frac{1}{\delta}\right)} + \|\theta^*\|_2,$$

then $P(\mathcal{E}) \geq 1 - \delta$. That is, $P(\bar{\mathcal{E}}) \leq \delta$. ■

A.5 Conclude the Proof

Putting it together, for any $\sigma > 0$, any $\delta \in (0, 1)$, and any

$$c \geq \frac{1}{\sigma} \sqrt{d \log \left(1 + \frac{nK}{d\sigma^2}\right) + 2 \log \left(\frac{1}{\delta}\right)} + \|\theta^*\|_2,$$

we have that

$$\begin{aligned} R(n) &\leq 2c \mathbb{E} \left[\sum_{t=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^{\mathbf{K}_t} \sqrt{x_{\mathbf{a}_k^t}^T M_{t-1}^{-1} x_{\mathbf{a}_k^t}} \middle| \mathcal{E} \right] + nKP(\bar{\mathcal{E}}) \\ &\leq 2cK \sqrt{\frac{dn \log \left[1 + \frac{nK}{d\sigma^2}\right]}{\log \left(1 + \frac{1}{\sigma^2}\right)}} + nK\delta. \end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

Choose $\delta = \frac{1}{nK}$, we have the following result: for any $\sigma > 0$ and any

$$c \geq \frac{1}{\sigma} \sqrt{d \log \left(1 + \frac{nK}{d\sigma^2}\right) + 2 \log(nK)} + \|\theta^*\|_2,$$

we have

$$R(n) \leq 2cK \sqrt{\frac{dn \log \left[1 + \frac{nK}{d\sigma^2}\right]}{\log \left(1 + \frac{1}{\sigma^2}\right)}} + 1.$$